A NOVEL STEM CELL POTENCY and RELEASE ASSAY for UMBILICAL CORD BLOOD. **Correlation of Stem Cell Proliferation with Engraftment** Ivan N. Rich, PhD and Karen M. Hall, MT (ASCP). HemoGenix[®], Inc. Colorado Springs, CO, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Acceptance or rejection of an umbilical cord blood (UCB) unit is determined by total nucleated cell count (TNC). Yet stem cell potency will decide whether a UCB unit will engraft and repopulate the patient after transplantation. A cell potency and release assay is required by standards organizations for UCB. Neither TNC nor viability and CD34 number provide stem cell potency assessment. Although the colony-forming cell (CFC) assay is required, it is used only as a "growth or no-growth assay" and not as a measure of cell potency because, due to lack of standardization and validation, cell potency cannot be measured. In a recent article in Transfusion (2008, 28:620-628), Reems et al describe a novel instrument-based, ATP bioluminescence proliferation assay used for UCB and compared at two geographical locations. Results indicated a correlation for the assay between the locations with a correlation coefficient of R=0.94 (p<0.001; r2=0.89). In addition there was a correlation between the ATP and CFC assays (R=0.79). The ATP assay has now been further developed into a cell potency and release assay using a UCB reference standard. The reference standard and UCB sample(s) are measured at 3 cell doses. The linear regression of the sample must be parallel to that of the reference standard. The horizontal displacement provides an estimate of the cell potency compared to the reference standard. A displacement to the left indicates greater potency, while a displacement to the right indicates decrease cell potency. In addition, background controls are performed for both reference standard and sample. The release criteria for a sample can be arbitrarily set from the standard deviation of the background control. This is the first stem cell potency and release assay that is instrument-based, non-subjective and fully standardized against an external standard, allowing intra- and inter-laboratory comparisons and therefore validation.

REGULATORY ISSUES

International Standard for Cell Processing

According to FACT-JACIE International Standards, 3rd Edition:

Section D6.13 states, "Laboratory processes shall include the establishment of appropriate and validated assays and test procedures of the evaluation of cell therapy products".

According to NetCord-FACT Standards for Cord Blood, 3rd Edition:

Section D14.1.1.1 states, "The use of established and validated appropriate assays, standards, and test procedures for the evaluation of the CD unit".

Section D14.1.1.2 states, "Adequate provisions for monitoring the reliability, accurcy, precision and performance of CBB Processing Facility test procedures and instruments".

According to AABB Standards for Cellular Therapy Product Service:

Section 5.14C states, "Potency assay appropriate for the cellular therapy, if applicable"

Docket No. 2007D-0025 from the FDA / CBER.

Guidance for Industry: Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Cord Blood Processing System Storage Container. For immediate implementation.

- ..."Total colony-forming unit granulocyte macrophage (CFC-GEM)
- Total burst-forming units erythroid (BFU-E), if applicable

 Total colony-forming unit - granulocyte erythrocyte monocyte macrophage (CFC-GEMM), if applicable..."

What is an "Appropriate" and "Validated" Assay for Cord Blood?

Is the CFC Assay an "appropriate" and "validated" assay for cell potency? NO.

The CFC assay is an indicator of differentiation potential, but does NOT measure proliferation potential.

To ensure that a stem cell transplantation product actually contains stem cells which exhibit the ability to proliferate and therefore exhibit a measure of poetency, the stem cells themselves, not their lineage-specific decendents (CFC-GM, BFU-E etc) have to be determined.

The potency of a stem cell product is not an "all-or-nothing" / "growth - no growth" subjective determination, but a quantitative measurement of the cell's "stemness" and therefore its proliferation potential compared to a reference standard.

WHY IS A CELL POTENCY ASSAY SO IMPORTANT?

- To control for batch manufacturing consistancy.
- Product stability.
- Product bridging studies.
- Product performance prediction / assurance
- Evaluate / correlate clinical dose response
- Avoid product failure / toxicity due to improper potency.



The HALO[®]-96 PQR Cell Potency and Release Assay



HALO®-96 PQR is a SPECIAL 5 DAY HUMAN HALO®-96 SEC (Suspension Expansion Culture) for CFC-GEMM STEM CELLS The following 3-D dose response curves show a direct correlation between the traditional CFC assay, HALO®-96 MeC (methyl cellulose) and HALO®-96 SEC (Suspension Expansion Culture)



These results validate HALO®-96 MeC and HALO®-96 SEC against the CFC Assay. Since all three assays were performed under the same conditions, colony counts counted on day 14 can be expressed as ATP equivalent concentrations on day 7 for HALO®-96 MeC and on day 5 from HALO®-96 SEC and HALO®-96 PQR. Any HALO°-96 format is an alternative to, and can completely replace, the colony-forming cell (CFC) assay.





HALO®-96 POR can MEASURE STEM CELL (CFC-GEMM) POTENCY from **UMBILICAL CORD BLOOD SAMPLES** Comparison of a Cord Blood Reference Standard with Cord Blood Samples **Evaluation of Stem Cell Potency** Detection and Measurement of Stem Cell (CFC-GEMM) Pote from a Reference Standard

HALO[®]-96 POR is used to DEFINE

ACCEPTANCE LIMITS for RELEASE

PURPOSES.

CRITERIA of UMBILICAL CORD BLOOD

example, the acceptance limit is arbitrarily set at an ATP concentration of

of the CFC-GEMM and background were virtually zero (see table below)

nce limit is arbitrary unit set by individual laboratories. In this

es did exhibit engraftment, despite the fact that the ATP concer

Transplantation Engraftment Outc

ATP Concentration (µM)	Stem Cell Potency compared to Reference Standard / Equivalent Cell Concentration / Well					
	Cord Blood Reference Standard	UCB Sample 3	UCB Sample 4	UCB Sample	UCB Sample 13	UCB Sample 15
0.1	6126	2.13/ 2871	1.51 / 4049	1.31 / 4691	1.51 / 4055	1.14 / 5388
0.11	6728	2.02 / 3320	1.49 / 4506	1.31 / 5136	1.49 / 4511	1.15 / 5833
0.12	7330	1.94 / 3769	1.47 / 4964	1.31 / 5581	1.47 / 4967	1.16 / 6278
0.13	7932	1.88 / 4217	1.46 / 5421	1.31 / 6026	1.46 / 5423	1.18/ 6723
0.14	8534	1.82 / 4666	1.45 / 5879	1.31 / 6470	1.45 / 5878	1.19/ 7169
0.15	9136	1.78/ 5115	1.44 / 6336	1.32/ 6915	1.44 / 6334	1.12/ 7614

strate that the slope of the reference standard and the cord blood samples are parallel within 90-95% Linear regression analysis must dem confidence limits. In the present case, the pooled slope was 2.14 x 10⁻⁵. The probability that all linear regressions were within 95% of this slope was P = 0.048. If the slopes are similar, as shown in the diagram above, then the horizontal displacement from the reference standard at one or more ATP values can be calculated and the corresponding cell conc indicates a greater potency than the reference standard. ion estimated (see table). Displacement to the left, as found for all samples sh



HALO[®]-96 PQR PREDICTS ENGRAFTMENT OUTCOME for UMBILICAL CORD BLOOD

All of the samples used in this study were cryo preserved cord blood pellets some of which were frozen for more than 10 years. After performing HALO®-96 POR on 18 "blind" cord blood samples, the results of CFC-GEMM proliferation were correlated with the engraftment of the same samples in patients. Of the 18 samples tested, 7 exhibiting CFC-GEMM proliferation above the 0.01 µM ATP arbitrary acceptance limit predicted engraftment and were found to have engrafted in the Three samples that were not predicted to have engrafted, apparently did engraft. All other samples that were below the acceptance limit, did not engraf



CONCLUSIONS

HALO®-96 PQR is the only in vitro assay available that can

1. Reliably measure and evaluate cord blood stem cell potency against a reference standard.

2. Define acceptance limits for release criteria of cord blood units for stem cell transplantation. 3. Predict cord blood transplantation engraftment outcome.

Despite the mandated use of "acceptable" and "validated" assays to detect and measure cell potency and release criteria for umbilical cord blood by Standards Organizations and Regulatory Agencies, stem cell transplantation centers and cord blood banks continue to use outdated, subjective, non-standardized and non-validated assays. The CFC assay was designed as a research tool and for the reasons given above, should be considered totally unacceptable for use in patient-related clinical applications.

The HALO®-96 Stem and Progenitor Cell - Quality Control (SPC-QC) and HALO®-96 PQR (Potency, Quality, Release) Platforms have been specifically designed for stem cell transplantation and cord blood bank processing laboratories. The HALO® Platform has been validated many times not only against the CFC assay, but also against the Registry of Cytotoxicity Prediction Model as a validated cytotoxicity assay and by large biopharmaceutical companies that now consider HALO[®] the "GOLD STANDARD" in hemotoxicity testing.

Further studies are ongoing both with frozen and fresh cord blood samples. It is expected that furture results will consolidate and cement those reported here, thereby providing users with an easy to use, validated and predictive assay for routine use and increased patient safety.

REFERENCES

"Developemnt of a Novel Assay to Evaluate the Functional Potential of Umbilical Cord Blood Progenitors", Reems, Hall, Luladay, Taber, Rich, Transfusion, 48:620-628 (2008).

Cell Potency Assays for the 21st Century Stem Cell Transplantation and Cord Blood Ban Processing Laboratories. HemoGenix® White Paper. Please download this article from the HemoGenix[®] website at www.hemogenix.com.

"Validation and Development of a Predictive Paradigm for Hemotoxicity Using a Multifunctional Bioluminescence Colony-Forming Proliferation Assay". Rich IN, Hall KM. Tox Sci 87: 427-441 (2005).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank the University of Colorado Cord Blood Bank, ClinImmune, Inc and Dr. Brian Freed, Executive Director of ClinImmune for their collaboration in this study.